# Academic Policies Committee

**Minutes**

**Tuesday, May 29, 2018**

**Location (virtual meeting)**

Meeting: APC 2017/2018:15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Convened</th>
<th>3:09 a.m. (May 27)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attending</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guest(s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donna Hills (Nursing)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### I. Chair’s Report

P. Walker e-mailed the committee members with an urgent request from the Office of Academic Affairs to review, discuss and vote on two Nursing School proposals required for re-accreditation purposes. According to Professor Donna Hills, Chairperson of the School of Nursing, these two revised policies must be fully approved and on the University’s website by June 30, 2018.

### II. Spring Meeting Dates:

None remaining

### III. Old Business

None

### IV. New Business

A. School of Nursing Course Withdrawal Policy, 18:272
B. School of Nursing Admission Requirement for the BS in Nursing, 18:273

**Motion to approve Proposals 18:272 and 18:273 as revised:**

**Motion by:** B. Galinski  
**Seconded by:** A. Rosenthal.

**Overview:** P. Walker called the meeting to order via the internet. The participating committee members discussed some irregularities of wording. A. Rosenthal pointed out that it would be better to change the reference to a “withdrawal after the withdrawal period, but before the withdrawal deadline” to a “withdrawal after the add-drop period, but before the withdrawal deadline,” and he also highlighted in a separate file where in the original document that change will have to appear. P. Walker also noticed that the proposed revised course withdrawal policy indicates what happens to students who have a grade lower than 77% and what happens to students who have a grade higher than 77%. However, the policy does not indicate what happens to students who have a grade of exactly 77%. Other items of language and practice were pointed out by B. Galinski and K. Pangallo. P. Walker wrote to the School of Nursing asking that they propose a slight revision that would eliminate this omission. After revisions were made, the committee then proceeded with a vote regarding the two proposals.

Motion passed with 14 yes-votes and 1 abstention.

### V. Adjournment

**Motion to adjourn:** A. Rosenthal  
**Seconded by:** B. Galinski

Motion passed unanimously.
Adjourned at 9:42 a.m.

Respectfully submitted by,
Severin Kitanov (Philosophy)

Attachments: 18:272, 18:273